CJ Act	WITN 1967, s.9; MC Act 198	ESS STAT 80, ss.5A(3)(a) and		ocedure R	ules 2005	, Rule 27.1	
	Xavier Davison		TIDAT F	Т			
Statement of			URN:				
Age if under 18	Over 18	(if over 18 insert 'ov	ver 18') Occupatio	n:	Techn	ical Officer	
This statement (cons and I make it knowin anything in it which I	g that, if it is tendere know to be false, or	ed in evidence, I do not believe to	shall be liable to				
	X	7-1-			30 N	/lay 2025	
Signature:				Date:			
Tick if witness evider I, XAVIER DAVIDSON, Paralegals and Compar		Nathan Paralegals	witness details on s and Company Ll	/	ın Islands)	, formerly Nathar	n
I am a Technical Officer Paralegals and Compar corroborate and expand Freezing Order (AFO) p This statement aims to c and to highlight what I b trust by HMRC officers i	by LLP t/a Nathan Para upon the circumstance roceedings involving A clarify the legitimate ori elieve to be a severe a	legals and Compa es surrounding cer angles , for igins and intended	any ("NPC") in the rtain funds and tra rmer partner and F uses of funds tha	ÚK. I am p nsactions p Person with t have bee	providing the pertinent to Significan n subjecte	nis witness stater o the ongoing As nt Control (PSC) ed to an AFO by I	ment to set at NPC. HMRC,
2. Background of Ang	Loan and B	usiness Developm	ent				
2.1. On or about 9 Septe UK. The purpose of this commercialisation of an to the commercial market	application was to sec algorithmic search too	cure £1 million in ca		he continue	ed develo _l	oment and	
2.2. Following a thoroug stringent management a Vehicles (SPVs). This a governance and clear a	and oversight of the loa pproach is standard pr	in, the funds were actice for sophistic	structured to be a	dvanced th	rough var	ious Special Pur	pose
3. The Lawful Origin of I	Funds: A Rigorous HM	RC-Approved VAT	「Refund				
3.1. Concurrently with M package for a client. As	liss loan applica a direct result of this s	ation, NPC UK had ignificant transaction	d successfully com on, NPC UK paid i	ipleted a si input tax fo	ubstantial or VAT to I	£5 million litigation	on funding
3.2. Subsequently, NPC and heavily scrutinised i document flow and liaise unturned," and involved compliance check, an eduk law, HMRC duly ma	nvestigation by HMRC ed extensively with HM detailed scrutiny by HI ven Higher unnamed H	 I, as the respons IRC during this per MRC Higher Office IMRC Officer finall 	ible officer on beh riod. The investiga er Veronica Benjar ly signed off the V	alf of NPC ition was e nin. Ultima	UK, perso xhaustive, tely, follov	onally managed to leaving "no ston ving this rigorous	he e
3.3. This meticulous pro and legitimacy of these HMRC-verified VAT refu laundering.	funds. It is therefore as	stonishing and dee	ply concerning that	at funds, wl	hich in pai	rt originated from	this
Signature:	-in J.	Signature	e witnessed by:			Patet	

2006/07(1): MG 11(T)

WITNESS STATEMENT CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1						
	Xavier Davisor	n		Laire Rules 2003	, reals 27.1	
Over 40					ical Officer	
4. Authorisation and Ma	nagement of UK Funds	S				
4.1. Also on 9 Septemb NPC would be held at E capacity as a PSC at th management of NPC's	sarclays Bank Plc. Thes e time. This demonstra	se accounts were to be	e overseen and n	nanaged by Miss	Angel in her	r
5. Abuse of the Proceed	ds of Crime Act 2002 ar	nd Officer Lucy Craig's	Conduct			-
5.1. Information has bee a "rogue HMRC officer" in part originated from a being asserted by anoth	is actively freezing fundations VAT refund explicitly v	ds loaned to Miss verified and repaid by h	From our per HMRC in 2021 fo	spective, it is out bllowing a detailed	rageous that funds, wh d investigation, are now	nich
5.2. This "rogue HMRC reckless investigation h undervalued price to an highlighting the immens labelled Miss a c seek appropriate damage	as had devastating con entrepreneur. It is now e financial detriment ca riminal based on what a	sequences for Miss v understood that this vaused by Officer Craig'	, forcing her very business is v s actions. The co	to sell her busine valued in the £5-£ onduct of Officer	E10 million price range, Craig, which has all bu	,
5.3. I am aware of the saccused of perverting the Specifically, it is alleged ongoing AFO matter was with a similar name year merely administrative of	ne course of public justi I that in a witness state Is married to a convicte Is before it was incorpo	ice and fraud by abuse ment dated 13 March 2 d criminal. Furthermor prated, despite that cor	e of position by ly 2024, Officer Cra e, she allegedly mpany being owi	ring to Ipswich Ma aig falsely asserte attempted to link ned by a US-base	agistrates' Court. ed that a party in the this criminal to a compet trust. These are not	oany
5.4. The conduct of Offi 2002. POCA Section 31 granted. It is unfathoma Officer Veronica Benjar partly derived.	3 requires "reasonable ble how such "reasona	grounds for suspecting grounds for suspecting grounds grounds.	ig that the mone st when HMRC's	y is money launde s own prior, exhau	ering" for an AFO to be ustive investigation by	9
5.5. This situation bring instance," given the pot investigations by state a	ential for legitimate bus					us
6. Prior HMRC Investiga	ations and Officer Craig	g's Wilful Non-Disclosu	re			
6.1. It is important to no 2021, NPC was the sub Officer Veronica Benjar sign-off, on 27 October	ject of an investigation nin continuing the inves	initiated by a letter from stigation on 23 Septem	m HMRC Officer ber 2021, culmir	Ashley Robinsor nating in her appr	n. This was followed by oval, with senior officer	/ r
6.2. Despite this extens her 18-month investigat AFO. Her omission of fa£993,749.00 VAT return ntegrity of the AFO app bound to uphold.	ion, wilfully failed to dis acts, including the prior n, constitutes a significa	sclose this crucial inform HMRC compliance ch ant breach of her duty t	mation to Suffolk eck by Officer Ve to the court. This	Magistrates' Cou eronica Benjamin deliberate non-d	urt when applying for the and the lawful origin o isclosure undermines t	ne of the
Signature		Signatura	tnessed by:	,	AP at et	

2006/07(1): MG 11(T)

RESTRICTED (when completed)

	Xavier Davi					
Statement of			URN:			
Age if under 18	Over 18) Occupatio	Occupation: Technical Officer			
ravity of Officer Craig erified by statement o	s alleged actions. If HM f truth to bolster cases	RC Officer A. Smith, as re IRC employees are "cau in exercising their duties ghts the extreme serious	ight fabricatin ," they could	g evidence face severe	or submitting false state personal liability, include	ements ding
. Conclusion and Call	for Justice					
		unwavering support of Mincompetent HMRC Offi			o I believe is a victim of y abused the powerful	
btained and HMRC-ve	erified VAT return. Offic	£600,827.92, are demo er Lucy Craig's investiga ial facts, are a grave cor	ation and sub	sequent act	ions, including alleged	fabricatio
MRC compliance che officer Lucy Craig. I su	cks, the legitimate corp	ne overwhelming evidence orate governance surrousest for the immediate disg's conduct.	unding NPC's	finances, a	and the egregious cond	uct of
	e who makes, or cause	atement are true. I unde s to be made, a false sta				
1	(-i a)_					

RESTRICTED (when complete)

2006/07(1): MG 11(T)